



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

[Signature]

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,927	10/19/2001	Arnab Das	13-17-14-1	5034
30594	7590	01/12/2006	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ADHAM, MOHAMMAD SAJID	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2662	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/036,927	DAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohammad S. Adhami	2662	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 October 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's Amendment filed 10/24/2005 is acknowledged.
- Claims 11 and 15 have been amended
- Claims 1-20 are pending
- Applicant's amendments with respect to the first action objection of the drawings and the disclosure are noted and the objection is withdrawn.
- Applicant's response and amendment with respect to the first action rejection of claims 15-17 under 35 USC 112-1st paragraph is noted and the rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-13, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kwan (US App No. 10/184,022).

Re claim 1:

Kwan discloses an optimized adaptive modulation technique utilizing fixed length frames, each divided into time slots of equal duration (Paragraph [0078] "3 slots = 2ms"), transmitting a code multiplexed transmission where the number of codes is variable based on the communication channel (Paragraph [0011]

"selecting a number of channelization codes and a modulation and coding scheme from among a plurality of MCs...according to said time varying radio link quality").

Re claim 2:

Kwan teaches redundancy that is a function of the number of codes (Paragraph 0021 "selecting an MCS capable of achieving a specified error measure" and Table 3). If the quality of the channel falls below a certain level, the amount of code used is increased to compensate for the lower quality, as shown in Table 3. The increase in code increases the amount of redundancy as well.

Re claim 3:

Kwan determines the condition of a channel based on quality-based parameters and available resources (Paragraph [0012] "allowed channelization codes are listed..only code channels are listed that provide a higher bit rate").

Re claim 4:

Kwan discloses transmission of a combination of one or more signal transmissions (Paragraph [0190] "Node B could allocate UE1 QPSK ½ with 4 codes...and UE2 QPSK ¾ with 6 codes").

Re claim 5:

Kwan discloses a method where the number of codes for a first transmission the same as the number of codes for a subsequent transmission

(Paragraph [0057, 0069] “N=number of channelization codes used/user” and “the value for N can remain the same”).

Re claim 6:

Kwan discloses a method using different number of codes for a first transmission and a subsequent transmission (Paragraph [0057, 0067] “N=number of channelization codes user/user” and “N will be increased again to increase the number of codes used per user”).

Re claim 7:

Kwan discloses a method “capable of carrying multiple simultaneous transmissions by using one or more different codes for each of the multiple simultaneous transmissions” (Paragraph [0190] and Table 3 “Ue1 QPSK ½ with 4 codes...UE2 QPSK ¾ with 6 codes”).

Re claim 13:

Kwan discloses frames with duration of 2 milliseconds and a plurality of slots, each 0.67 milliseconds (Paragraph [0078] “length in HSDPA ... is 3 slots = 2 ms” and Figure 7).

Re claim 20:

Kwan discloses a method that transmits a ACK/NACK in the same frame for the same user (Paragraph [0079] “ack/nak will reserve 1 slot”).

Re claims 1-13, 20:

Kwan discloses a method that is capable of retransmission. It is inherent in the design of the method for first transmissions. A retransmission follows the

same method as a retransmission, so when Kwan has a method that takes the quality of the channel is taken into consideration this applies to retransmissions as well because they use the same method.

Subsequently, [Claim 4] Kwan is capable of transmitting a combination of new transmissions and previous transmissions, because they use the same method. [Claims 8-12] It is inherent that multiple simultaneous transmissions include [Claim 8] a plurality of first transmissions from different users, [Claims 9 and 10] retransmissions of previous transmissions from the same or different users, [Claims 11 and 12] first transmission and one or more retransmission from the same or different users.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwan in view of Bolgiano (US 6,366,568).

Re claims 14-19:

[Claims 14-19] As discussed above, Kwan discloses a method using fixed length frames and sending a retransmission of a previous transmission. Kwan further discloses using ARQ (Paragraph [0131] "different transport channels

should have separate HARQ processes"). Kwan also discloses transmitting in a code and frequency domain (Figure 5. "Coding and Multiplexing" and Paragraph [0007] "on top of the benefits attributed to fat-pipe multiplexing, AMC combined with time domain scheduling"). [Claim 16] Kwan additionally discloses defining the frequency domain with modulation and code (Table 3).

Kwan does not explicitly disclose using a transmission domain selected from a space domain and defining the transmission formats based on certain parameters.

[Claims 14-19] Bolgiano discloses a method using code domain, frequency domain, and space domain (Col. 2 lines 27-29 "using time division multiple access (TDMA) in various combinations with CDMA and space diversity antennas"). [Claims 16,18, and 19] Bolgiano also discloses defining the code, frequency, and space domains parameters (Col. 3 lines 2-3 and 7-10 "system using CDMA to modulate a TDMA signal which is transmitted from three space diversity antennas" and "TDMA signals used to transmit multiple repetitions of the same data packet...one of the three space diversity antennas"; Col. 16 line 43 "each antenna is assigned a separate center frequency"; Col. 17 lines 12-14 "the transfer station converts the TDMA protocol to a time slotted CDMA triple space/time diversity air interface protocol"; Col. 17 lines 35-36 "the PN code continues to run and is different during each time slot").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kwan to use the space domain in transmission and define

the transmission domains based on certain parameters as taught by Bolgiano in order to provide a space diversity system that would prevent fading.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- In the Remarks on pg.8-9 of the Amendment, Applicant contends that Kwan does not disclose any method for retransmission.
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Kwan explicitly states in Para. [0146] "the HARQ retransmits the whole TTI" and thus discloses a method for retransmission. Further, the method of transmission disclosed in Kwan could easily be used as a method for retransmission. Kwan shows a method of transmitting data, and this method can be applied regardless of whether the data has been transmitted previously or not.

- In the Remarks on pg.-910 of the Amendment, Applicant contends that the combination of Kwan and Bolgiano does not disclose or suggest a method for providing adaptive incremental redundancy.
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Bolgiano does not need to meet the limitations of retransmission because Kwan discloses retransmission as discussed above in reference to the Remarks on pg.8-9 of the Amendment.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad S. Adhami whose telephone number is (571)272-8615. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571)272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MSA 1/6/2006



HASSAN KIZOU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600